APPLICANT: McDOT PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Johnsburg, McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-936 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are mon-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND.
 □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: RICHMOND, HA 303. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: 8/1/06 □ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetlands are tributary to the Fox River a navigable waterway, under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 2006, prepared by Smith Engineering Consultants, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 10 30 06 Approved by: Date: /////66
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Korall Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Approximately Mile Post 297.7 of the Chicago Sanitary Ship Canal in Romeoville, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1176 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ROMEOVILLE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ROMEOVILLE, 146. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: ROMEOVILLE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Monee Twp, 1997 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The I&M Ship Canal is a navigable waters of the U.S. and is therefore jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: — S — Date: 3/007 06 Approved by: — S — Date: 1 ~ ~ ~ ~ 6
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Homer Township Road and W. 151st Street in Homer Township, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1013 PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the Intersection of S. Gougar Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: JOLIET, 89. ✓ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: JOLIET, 1993. ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ✓ Aerials (Name & Date):Homer Twp, 1997 ✓ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ✓ Site Visit Conducted on: ✓ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The two tributaries located on the northern portion of the subject property drain into Big Run Creek. The tributary located on the southern end of the property south of W. 151st Street drains into Fiddyment Creek. Both tributaries are hydrologically connected to the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary .
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:/ ~~~ ° °
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jim Kasovic PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: corner of Stafford Drive and Shady Hollow Lane in Johnsburg, McHenry County, Illinois / Fox River Waterway FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-855 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FOX LAKE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FOX LAKE, HA 151. USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):Fall 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: September 19, 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Subject on-site wetlands drain a few hundred feet through a pipe to Pistakee Lake and the Fox River, both navigable in-fact Waters of the U.S. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 30 OVT OI Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Ridge Property Trust PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of Murphy Road and W. Frontage Road in Wilmington, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1202 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WILMINGTON. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WILMINGTON, 306. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: WILMINGTON, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerials (Name & Date): Wilmington, 1997 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: □ Site Visit Conducted on: October 4, 2006 □ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland and the tributary located on the subject property are hydrologically connected. In addition they both flow into the Kankakee River and are therefore jurisdictional
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: 5 - Date: 3/00-06 Approved by: -5 - Date: 1 - c c co
Approved by:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Ridge Property Trust PRO Road and W Frontage Road in Wilmington, FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1201 PRO	Will County, Illinois	WATERWAY: Northwest MPLETED: 🔀 Office 🗵	. ,
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (action. (33 CFR 331.2)	(For Sites regulate	d under 33 CFR 320-330).	An approved JD is an appealable
Based on available information: There are no waters on the pro There are non-jurisdictional w. There are waters of the United There are both waters of the U	aters on the project site States on the project si	ite.	project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:			
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters ☐ The presence of waters which are interstate or foreign commerce, including of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (☐ The presence of a tributary to an in ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent except for those wetlands adjacent ☐ The presence of an isolated water sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairi ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	currently used, or were ng all waters which are including interstate we nterstate water or other 2 (bordering, contiguo to other wetlands. (33 (e.g., intrastate lakes, r	used in the past, or may be subject to the ebb and flow tlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a water of the US. (33 CFR bus, or neighboring) to inte CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ivers, streams (including in	v of the tide (i.e., navigable waters)(2)) 328.3 (a)(5)) rstate or other waters of the US, ttermittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed			
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Servic U. S. Geological Survey Hyd USDA Natural Resources Co U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-1 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-1 U. S. Geological Survey 15-N Aerials (Name & Date): Wilm Advanced Identification Wetl Site Visit Conducted on: Octo Other information:	rologic Atlas: WILMIN nservation Service Soil Minute Topographic M Minute Historic Quadra Minute Historic Quadra ington, 1997	NGTON, 306.	3.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes of drainage way is hydrologically connected to			
Ordinary High Water Mark indica clear, natural line impressed the presence of litter and deb changes in the character of so wetland boundary	ted by: on the bank	estruction of terrestrial veg helving ther:	getation
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:			
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of ☐ Area under consideration is lil ☐ Area under consideration is not	cely to have been jurison	lictional under pre-SWAN	
☐ Headquarters declined to approve j	urisdiction on the basis	of 328.3(a)(3) [attach cop	y of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetl This office does not confirm your		dated , prepared by	
Recommended by:	3	Date:	310006
Approved by:	-5	Date:	12000
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils	methods and criteria estab	lished in the Corps Wetland I	Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Ridge Property Trust PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the Intersection of Murphy Road and S. Kavanaugh Road in Wilmington, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1200 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2)
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WILMINGTON. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WILMINGTON, 306. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: WILMINGTON, 1993. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerials (Name & Date): Wilmington, 1997 ☑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: October 4, 2006 ☑ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Drainage Channel 1 and 2 flow into the Kankakee River. The farmed wetland located in the middle of the subject property drains into Drainage Channel 1 and is therefore jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: GV Designer Home in Green Garden, Will County, Illinoi FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-800					Oralle Road
Approved Jurisdictional Determina action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available informa There are no waters on There are non-jurisdicti There are waters of the There are both waters o	tion: the project site. onal waters on the pr United States on the	oject site. project site.			appealable
Basis of Jurisdictional Determinati	on:				
There are no jurisdictional The presence of waters whi interstate or foreign commerce, of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1) The presence of interstate v The presence of a tributary The presence of wetlands a except for those wetlands a The presence of an isolated sandflats, wetlands, sloughs Other: Section 10 waterway.	ch are currently used including all waters v)) vaters (including inteto an interstate water djacent ² (bordering, djacent to other wetlawater (e.g., intrastate	, or were used in which are subject rstate wetlands ¹). or other water o contiguous, or no ands. (33 CFR 32 e lakes, rivers, str	the past, or may be to the ebb and flow (33 CFR 328.3 (a f the US. (33 CFR eighboring) to inter 8.3 (a)(7)) teams (including in	y of the tide (i.e., navig ()(2)) 328.3 (a)(5)) estate or other waters of termittent streams), mu	able waters
Information Reviewed					
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife U. S. Geological Surve Aerials (Name & Date Advanced Identification Site Visit Conducted on Other information: 	ey Hydrologic Atlas: ces Conservation Ser ey 7.5-Minute Topogi ey 7.5-Minute Histori ey 15-Minute Histori o:Green Garden, 199° on Wetland Maps:	FRANKFORT, 2 vice Soil Survey raphic Maps: FR ic Quadrangles: _ c Quadrangles: _ 7	31. for Will County. ANKFORT, 1990.		
Rationale for Basis (applies to any lower to any lower to any lower tribute tributer tributer tributer tributer associated with Wetland Bowith Wetland A and Parcel 4 has no well as the lower tributer t	ary on the northern pon on the southern bound	ortion of the site	that crosses Center	Road and another inte	rmittent
Ordinary High Water Mark clear, natural line imp the presence of litter a changes in the charact wetland boundary	indicated by: ressed on the bank nd debris	destruction shelving other:	on of terrestrial veg	etation	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:					
Unable to confirm the present Area under consideration Area under consideration	on is likely to have be	en jurisdictional	under pre-SWANG	CC Migratory Bird Rul	e criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to ap	prove jurisdiction on	the basis of 328.	3(a)(3) [attach copy	y of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundar This office concurs with yo This office does not confirm	ur wetland delineatio n your wetland boun		, prepared by		
Recommended by:	_5_		Date:	3/00 06) -
Approved by:	-5-		Date:	3/00 06	

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Don Thurston PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 39235 N. Shore Ave., Antioch, Lake County, Illinois / Grass Lake FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1107 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ANTIOCH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ANTIOCH, HA 226. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):07/17/1985 Color Aerial Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 02 October 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Grass Lake is part of the Fox River Chain O Lakes ecosystem, and is a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 10-76-06 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Unite Private Networks	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Aptakisic Creek/Buffalo Grove
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1018	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
	(JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information:	
There are no waters on the pi	roject site.
There are non-jurisdictional	waters on the project site.
There are waters of the United	
☐ There are both waters of the	United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
The presence of waters which ar	s of the United States present on the project site. e currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ling all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters
The presence of a tributary to an	(including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
	nt ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, nt to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
The presence of an isolated water sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, pra	r (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, irie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Fish and Wildlife ServU. S. Geological Survey Hy	drologic Atlas: droservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
	Minute Topographic Maps: WHEELING, 1993.
	Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15	Minute Historic Quadrangles:
	9, 2000, 2004, 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
Advanced Identification We Site Visit Conducted on:	tland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
Other information:	
	s checked above): Aptakisic Creek is a tributary of the Des Plaines River, a navigable Dam, and therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR.	328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water	
clear, natural line impre	
the presence of litter and	
changes in the character wetland boundary	of soil other
wetland boundary	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is	f waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve	jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries	
☐ This office concurs with your we ☐ This office does not confirm you	ir wetland boundary
	Date: 10/27/06
Approved by:	Date:
	and the decorate site of a set of the first of the Court Western Deliveration Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: W-T Communications Design Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 9.3 Acre Property Located West Of Archer Avenue And Roberts Road In Bedford Park, Cook County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

○ Office Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1116 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BERWYN, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:

Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland referenced as "W-1" in your delineation report is under the jurisdiction of this office due to its hydrologic connection to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, a navigable water. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving shelving the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 28, 2006, prepared by Raisanen & Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Nicholas Keller III PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake County, Mill Creek

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-495 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WADSWORTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WADSWORTH, HA 144. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WADSWORTH, 1993.
 ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: WAUKEGAN, 1960. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):2001, 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: 6/14/2006 Other information: Lake County Wetland Inventory, FEMA FIRM map Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Mill Creek flows through the property, and drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations. Four additional wetlands are adjacent to Mill Creek, and are also under Federal jurisdiction. Area A is Mill Creek. Area B is a wetland oxbow north of Mill Creek. Area C is an excavated pond north of Mill Creek. Area D is a wetland oxbow south of Mill Creek. The fourth wetland is a depressional area south of Mill Creek. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ✓ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries Manhard Consulting, This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 12, 2003, prepared by Manhard Consulting, Ltd., and updated by the Topographic Survey titled "Grading Plan" dated August 23, 2006 by Peklay Surveying Co., Ltd.. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 10-24-2006 Recommended by: Date: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Interlacken Construction PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines watershed/unincorp Lake Forest
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1036 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: M Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEELING, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland onsite has a surface connection to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, and is therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank clear, natural line impressed on t
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 16, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date:
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

^{&#}x27;Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jade Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-969 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: BARRINGTON. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: BARRINGTON, HA 150. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2001, 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: 8/31/2006 Other information: FEMA FIRM Map, McHenry County Natural Areas Inventory Map Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is approximately 28 acres in size and drains east through an unnamed tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 31, 2001, prepared by V3 Consultants with updated information by Ehorn Environmental, Ltd.. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: _____ _ Date: Approved by: _

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Wal-mart Stores, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-829 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ELGIN, 1962 ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2005 ☑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: 8/10/2006 ☑ Other information: NRCS Swampbuster Map
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): An unnamed intermittent tributary exists on the subject property, and drains southeast to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations. This jurisdictional tributary exists both above and below the ground surface.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 6/6/06 revised 8/1/06, prepared by Atwell-Hicks, LLC. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Date: 10/23/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Prospect Heights PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Beneath McDonald Creek On Camp McDonald Road In Prospect Heights, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1048 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): McDonald Creek is under the jurisdiction of this office due it's hydrological connection to the Des Plaines River, a navigable Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. 10/20/06 Recommended by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Lauth Property Group, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast Of 167th Street And LaGrange Road In Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1113 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.

U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Tonographic Maner U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ___ Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ____ Site Visit Conducted on: 10/3/2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland A and Wetland B, as referenced on your Wetland Location Map dated October 2006, are under the jurisdiction of this office due to their hydrologic connection to Marley Creek, a tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water. The existing detention pond on the subject property is not regulated by our office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 2006, prepared by Williams Creek Consulting. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Elmhurst Park DistrictPROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: From Busse Woods in Elk Grove Village to 47th Street in the Village of Lyons, DuPage County, Illinois/Salt Creek PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: 200500078 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELMHURST, Handule U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELMHURST, HA 68. Handle HA 86 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELMHURST, 1993. 1+1- dule, 1993 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): the project site includes wetlands that are adjacent to and have a hydrological connection to a jurisdictional waters of the U.S., Salt Creek which flows into the Des Plaines River, a section 10 waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation Shelving the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: ______ Date: \(\lambda - 19 - \omega_C Date: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: The Harlem Irving Company PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SW Corner of Gary Ave. and Stark Dr. in Carol Stream, DuPage County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1093 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):Fall 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 12 October 2006 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland is connected to Klein Creek to the NE through a 12-16 inch concrete pipe. Klein Creek is a tributary of the DuPage River, which flows into the Des Plaines River, which is navigable below the Hoffman Dam in Riverside, Illinois.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 18, 2006, prepared by Manhard Consulting, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Nate: 17 0ct 06 Nate: Date: Date: Date: Date:
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Comment [g1]: Comment [g2]:

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1082 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (ID) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved ID is an appealable
Action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUCONDA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, 7 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: September 19, 2006 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wooster Lake is tributary to Squaw Creek which flow to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, and is therefore a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris □ changes in the character of soil □ wetland boundary □ wetland boundary □ changes in the character of soil □ wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Date: Date:
Recommended by:
Approved by:Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Park Forest PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Along Old Western Avenue And The Sycamore Drive Area In Park Forest, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-693 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The three "waters of the United States" on the project site have been identified in your delineation report as the "Old Western Avenue Headwater Stream", "Sycamore Drive/Abbey Lane Stream/Wetland", and the "Unnamed Tributary at West End of Project." All three of these areas are hydrologically connected to Thorn Creek, a tributary to the Little Calumet River, a navigable water. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: \bowtie wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Pasquinelli Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-758 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PINEGREE GROVE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PINGREE GROVE, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):1990, 1991, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: 8/10/2006 Other information: FEMA FIRM map, NRCS Swampbuster Map Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 includes North Plato Ditch, which drains to Pingree Creek, Tyler Creek, and then to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations. Wetland 2 includes Pingree Creek and is also jurisdictional. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 10-16-06 Recommended by: Date: / 5 - / 6 - 06 Approved by: _

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of West Dundee PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1054 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Fox River is a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bravo Custom Homes, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of Lake Street, West of Illinois Route 59, East of Naperville Road In Bartlett, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-997 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ___ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: September 21, 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area 1, as referenced in your delineation report, drains to Brewster Creek, a tributary to the Fox River which is designated as a Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HO rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 5, 2006, prepared by V3. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Date: ____ Date: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

^{&#}x27;Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: City of McHenry PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-649 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: 8/1/06 □ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland complex and tributary on the subject property is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 2006, prepared by Smith Engineering Consultants, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Date: Date
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: 1329 N Lake Street LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1077 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A channel exists on the subject property, which drains through a series of culverts to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ changes in the character of soils ☐ wetland boundary ☐ wetland boundary ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation ☐ shelving ☐ other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Recommended by: Date: 10-5-06 Approved by:
Westands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corne Westand Delineation Manual (27 Manual) (i.e.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Frank Keenan / Jack Winnik PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West end of Pleasant Drive in Ingleside, Lake County, Illinois / Squaw Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-712 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FOX LAKE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FOX LAKE, HA 151. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Summer 2001, Fall 2002, Summer 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: 25 September 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands along shoreline of Squaw Creek, which flows into Fox Lake, a navigable in-fact waterway that is part of the Fox River Chain-O-Lakes ecosystem. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary _____ Date: 10-09-06 Recommended by: ___ Date: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Commonwealth Edison	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Norton Creek/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1043	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the properties of the United There are waters of the United There are water	waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ The presence of waters which ar interstate or foreign commerce, include of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters ☐ The presence of a tributary to an ☐ The presence of wetlands adjace except for those wetlands adjace ☐ The presence of an isolated water	s of the United States present on the project site. e currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ding all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) nt ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, nt to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) r (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, irie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
 □ U. S. Geological Survey Hy □ USDA Natural Resources C □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 	Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993. Minute Historic Quadrangles: Minute Historic Quadrangles: Date):PhotoMapper 2000, 2004, & 2005
	s checked above): The pond on site is tributary to Norton Creek, which is itself er of the United States, and is therefore a water of the United States under the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 3	
Ordinary High Water Mark indic	ssed on the bank debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is 1	f waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve	jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.	
Recommended by:	Date:
	Date:
	e methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Mike Moran PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 2400 E. Oakton Street In Arlington Heights, Cook County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1019 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:

U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

______. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ______. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Higgins Creek is under the jurisdiction of this office due to it's hydrologic connection to the Des Plaines River, a navigable Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: ______ Date: _____ _____ Date: _____/ c/25/ ~~ Approved by: _ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.